October 7, 2002

Mr. John Feldt Assistant District Attorney Denton County P.O. Box 2850 Denton, Texas 76202

OR2002-5640

Dear Mr. Feldt:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 170329.

The Denton County Criminal District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received three requests for information to include "copies of all official documents, reports, statements, correspondence and meeting transcripts related to the killing of" a named individual on April 12, 2002. The requestor has also asked several questions of the district attorney pertaining to the aforementioned killing. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.108, 552.111, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We first note that, with regard to the questions asked by the requestors, the Public Information Act (the "Act") does not require a governmental body to answer factual questions. Open Records Decision No. 555 (1990). However, a governmental body must

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We note that the district attorney submitted each request for information to our office separately for a ruling. Because all three requestors seek identical information, and because the district attorney has submitted the identical information to our office as responsive, we have combined the three requests into one ruling with the identification number listed above.

make a good faith effort to relate a request to information which it holds. Open Records Decision No. 561 (1990).

We also note that the submitted information in Exhibit F contains a search warrant affidavit. The affidavit to support a search warrant is made public by statute if the search warrant has been executed. See Code Crim. Proc art. 18.01(b). Therefore, the district attorney must release the search warrant affidavit we have marked (see red flag) if the warrant has been executed.

We next note that the submitted information consists of a completed investigation made of, for, or by the district attorney. Section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code thus provides that this information is not excepted from required disclosure under the Act, except as provided by section 552.108, or unless the information is expressly confidential under other law. You assert section 552.103 of the Government Code, the attorney-client privilege under section 552.107, and the attorney work product privilege aspect of section 552.111. See Open Records Decision No. 647 (1996) (for pending litigation, attorney work product privilege may be asserted under either section 552.103 or 552.111). Sections 552.107 and 552.111 are discretionary exceptions under the Act and do not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022.<sup>2</sup> However, because information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be withheld as provided by section 552.108, we will address your section 552.108 assertion for the submitted information.

## Section 552.108 states in pertinent part:

- (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:
  - (4) it is information that:
    - (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 4 (1994) (governmental body may waive attorney-client privilege, section 552.107(1)); 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general); 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive section 552.111).

- (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state [and]
- (b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:
  - (3) the internal record or notation:
    - (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

4

- (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.
- (c) This section does not except from [required public disclosure] information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

You cite to subsections 552.108(a)(4) and (b)(3) in connection with your assertion of attorney work product. When a request essentially seeks the entire prosecution file, the information is excepted from disclosure in its entirety. Curry v. Walker, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994) (discovery request for district attorney's entire litigation file may be denied because decision of what to include in file necessarily reveals prosecutor's mental impressions or legal reasoning). In this instance, we agree that the request essentially encompasses the prosecutor's entire case file. Curry thus provides that the release of the information would reveal the prosecutor's mental impressions or legal reasoning. Accordingly, except as otherwise noted herein, you may withhold the submitted information pursuant to subsections 552.108(a)(4)(B) and (b)(3)(B) of the Government Code.

We note, however, that section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Company v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). In Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976), this office summarized the types of information made public pursuant to *Houston Chronicle*. See Open Records Decision No. 127 at 4 (1976). The district attorney must release to the requestor this information, whether or not the information is found on the front page of an offense report. As we are able to make this determination under section 552.108, we need not address your claims under sections 552.101 and 552.130.

To summarize, the district attorney may withhold the submitted information under sections 552.108(a)(4) and 552.108(b)(3), with the exception of basic information, which must be released. The district attorney must also release the marked search warrant affidavit if the warrant has been executed.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,
Mishael A Tealle

Michael A. Pearle Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

MAP/jh

Ref: ID# 170329

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Shana Olive 1111 Juarez Street Napa, California 94559 (w/o enclosures)

> Ms. Danielle Romo 51 Trinity Novato, California 94949 (w/o enclosures)

Ms. Jami McMains 2955 Stonesheep Santa Rosa, California 95407 (w/o enclosures)